

Guest Editorial

# Shining a Light on America's HIV Epidemic among Men who Have Sex with Men

---

Ronald O Valdiserri, MD, MPH

Department of Health, Behavior and Society, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, United States

---

**Corresponding Author:**

Ronald O Valdiserri, MD, MPH  
Department of Health, Behavior and Society  
Bloomberg School of Public Health  
Johns Hopkins University  
615 N Wolfe St  
Baltimore, MD, 21205  
United States  
Email: [rvaldis1@jhu.edu](mailto:rvaldis1@jhu.edu)

**Related Articles:**

Comment on: <http://publichealth.jmir.org/2016/1/e22/>

Comment on: <http://publichealth.jmir.org/2016/1/e14/>

*(JMIR Public Health Surveill 2016;2(1):e25)* doi:[10.2196/publichealth.5864](https://doi.org/10.2196/publichealth.5864)

---

**KEYWORDS**

HIV surveillance; HIV diagnosis; HIV prevalence; HIV incidence; men who have sex with men; gay and bisexual men; demography

It's accepted as axiomatic that surveillance is the "cornerstone" of public health action [1]. Truthfully, without an accurate and timely assessment of population-specific health indicators and disease outcomes, public health policy makers and practitioners are often obliged to operate blindly at the margins of bias, misconception and ignorance. Historically, an accurate accounting of cases of the acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) – and later, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infections – was deemed so critical to America's public health response to AIDS that surveillance was memorably referred to as the "conscience of the epidemic" [2]. Extending that metaphor to recent work by Rosenberg and colleagues [3], published in this issue of *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*, reveals a truly troubled conscience, indeed.

Building off of work by Grey and colleagues [4], also recently published in *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*, who estimated the size of MSM (men-who-have-sex-with-men) populations within states, counties and US metropolitan areas, Rosenberg et al. were able to generate estimates of the prevalence of HIV diagnosis and infection and the rate of new diagnoses at national, state, MSA and county levels. Their analysis revealed that "HIV infection is hyperendemic among MSM in many areas of the United States, particularly in the South" [3]. Relying on the UNAIDS definition of hyperendemicity, this means that in many MSM communities

in the United States the prevalence of HIV infection is sustained at levels of 15% or even higher [5].

Although these researchers are not the first to call out the enormous burden of HIV disease among gay and bisexual men in the United States—especially among MSM who are black [6] – nor to highlight geographic HIV disparities in southern states [7], their results superimpose a mantle of urgency over an already critical public health problem. These findings beg the question "Why are we seeing such horrific HIV disparities among MSM in the US, especially among black MSM?" Hyperbole aside, epidemiologists note "if black MSM in the United States formed a country... it would have the highest HIV prevalence on the globe" [8]. And, more to the point, what can we do to remediate the circumstances fueling these appalling outcomes?

The answer, of course, is *action*. Public health surveillance without responsive public health action is, at best, wasted effort and at worst, negligence. The action must be timely and commensurate with the scale and scope of the problem but, above all else, it must be informed. This last statement brings us to another well-accepted (if hard won) axiom gained from our decades of interaction with this virus: there is no "magic bullet" that will end the AIDS epidemic. In other words, there is no *single* action or intervention that, once undertaken, will wondrously wipe away these disparities. We have to accept the inescapable reality that successfully confronting HIV among

gay and bisexual men in the United States, including attending to the special needs of MSM of color, will require us to mount a comprehensive array of interventions, including structural interventions and policy changes which address the social determinants underpinning the aforementioned health disparities. In the words of Teutsch and Fielding in their essay “Rediscovering the Core of Public Health,” while the principles of public health “remain the same” the “solutions are different”; namely, to be successful, public health cannot rely solely on biomedical approaches but must also “create healthier communities” [9].

What specific actions must we undertake, as public health leaders, to reduce HIV disparities and create healthier communities for same gender-loving men? A sensible starting place is America’s National HIV/AIDS Strategy, updated and released in 2015 [10]. Under Goal 3, “Reducing HIV-Related Disparities and Health Inequities,” we find the following recommended actions: expand services, support engagement in care, scale-up programs that address social determinants of health (SDOH), and mobilize communities to reduce stigma. Each one of these recommendations represents a key component of the comprehensive response necessary to quell the HIV epidemic among MSM in the United States.

Acknowledging the reality that many MSM living in the United States face barriers to accessing HIV prevention and clinical services [11,12] should not be misconstrued to imply that we are powerless to confront the disparities described by Rosenberg and his colleagues. In truth, compared to the earliest days of the HIV epidemic, we possess a wealth of knowledge about the virus and a robust armamentarium of clinical and public health interventions to treat and prevent HIV infection. But, in the oft-quoted words of Goethe, “Knowing is not enough, we must apply; willing is not enough, we must do.”

No intervention, regardless of efficacy, will demonstrate effectiveness if it’s not implemented properly or to scale. In its most recent assessment of HIV prevention services provided by state and local health departments, the National Alliance of State and Territorial AIDS Directors (NASTAD) found that “a lack of adequate HIV prevention funding across all program areas examined presents a major challenge for health department programs” [13]. Consistent with NASTAD’s findings is a model published by Holtgrave and colleagues estimating that unmet HIV service needs among black MSM in the United States

approached nearly \$2.5 billion in 2011 US dollars [14]. Clearly, as in any venture—health or otherwise—strategic investments pay dividends, in this case, reductions in preventable disease and death. Simply stated, if we want to end the HIV epidemic among MSM in the United States, we must increase our public health investment in needed prevention, care and social services.

Essential though it may be, investing additional resources into expanding HIV services for MSM is not the only necessary action we must undertake to resolve these disparities. Consider the findings of Oldenburg and her colleagues who documented a strong statistical association between state level structural stigma directed toward LGBT (lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender) populations and “increased sexual risk behavior, decreased awareness and use of antiretroviral chemoprophylaxis and decreased comfort discussing sexual behavior with primary care providers” [11]. This is but one example of a burgeoning literature shining a harsh light on the insidious connection between stigma and suboptimal health outcomes. Indeed, if we seek to reduce HIV-related disparities among MSM populations (and other vulnerable groups) it is imperative that we support models of prevention and care that knowingly address the diversity of factors that interact to shape what we call “health” [15]. This brings us back, full-circle, to our shared mission as public health leaders: “fulfilling society’s interest in assuring conditions in which people can be healthy” [16]. Assuring conditions in which MSM (whether gay identified or not) can be healthy—in this case free of HIV infection or continuously virally suppressed—requires that we move beyond strictly biomedical approaches. Even when those biomedical approaches are impressively effective, as in the case of PrEP (pre-exposure prophylaxis), provider attitudes can have a dampening effect on uptake, the daily realities of unemployment and unstable housing can minimize their relative importance among potential consumers and policies related to health care financing and reimbursement can inadvertently block access for those populations most likely to benefit from these amazing biomedical advances.

Analyses that continue to document the tremendous burden of HIV among MSM and call-out sub-populations and geographic areas that are particularly hard-hit may be disturbing to acknowledge. But every one of us—epidemiologist or not—understands that a troubled conscience will only grow worse if we continue to ignore the problem at hand rather than pursue those actions required to resolve the situation.

## References

1. Thacker SB, Qualters JR, Lee LM, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Public health surveillance in the United States: evolution and challenges. *MMWR Suppl* 2012 Jul 27;61(3):3-9. [Medline: [22832990](#)]
2. Sullivan PS, Delpech V. HIV Surveillance: the conscience of the epidemic. *Open AIDS J* 2012;6:65-66 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.2174/1874613601206010065](#)] [Medline: [23049654](#)]
3. Rosenberg ES, Grey JA, Sanchez TH, Sullivan PS. Rates of Prevalent HIV Infection, Prevalent Diagnoses and New Diagnoses among Men who Have Sex with Men in the US States, Metropolitan Statistical Areas and Counties, 2012-2013. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance* 2016 May 17;2(1):e22 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.2196/publichealth.5684](#)]
4. Grey JA, Bernstein KT, Sullivan PS, Purcell DW, Chesson HW, Gift TL, et al. Estimating the Populations Sizes of Men who Have Sex with Men (MSM) in US States and Counties using Data from the American Community Survey. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance* 2016 Apr 21;2(1):e14 [[FREE Full text](#)] [doi: [10.2196/publichealth.5365](#)]

5. UNAIDS. 2011. UNAIDS Terminology Guidelines URL: [http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media\\_asset/JC2118\\_terminology-guidelines\\_en\\_0.pdf](http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/JC2118_terminology-guidelines_en_0.pdf) [accessed 2016-03-29] [WebCite Cache ID 6hHguaexr]
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Subpopulation estimates from the HIV Incidence Surveillance System - United States. *MMWR* 2008;57(36):985-989.
7. Hanna DB, Selik RM, Tang T, Gange SJ. Disparities among States in HIV-Related Mortality in Persons with HIV Infection, 37 U.S. States, 2001-2007. *AIDS* 2012;26(1):905-103. [doi: [10.1097/QAD.0b013e32834dcf87](https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e32834dcf87)]
8. Matthews DD, Herrick AL, Coulter RW, Friedman MR, Mills TC, Eaton LA, et al. Running Backwards: Consequences of Current HIV Incidence Rates for the Next Generation of Black MSM in the United States. *AIDS Behav* 2016;20(1):7-16. [doi: [10.1007/s10461-015-1158-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-015-1158-z)] [Medline: [26267251](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26267251/)]
9. Teutsch SM, Fielding JE. Rediscovering the core of public health. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2013;34:287-299. [doi: [10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031912-114433](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031912-114433)] [Medline: [23140523](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23140523/)]
10. White House Office of National AIDS Policy. National HIV/AIDS Strategy for the United States: Updated to 2020. 2015 Jul. URL: <https://www.aids.gov/federal-resources/national-hiv-aids-strategy/nhas-update.pdf> [accessed 2016-03-31] [WebCite Cache ID 6hHhLy7o1]
11. Oldenburg CE, Perez-Brumer AG, Hatzenbuehler ML, Krakower D, Novak DS, Mimiaga MJ, et al. State-level structural sexual stigma and HIV prevention in a national online sample of HIV-uninfected MSM in the United States. *AIDS* 2015 Apr 24;29(7):837-845 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1097/QAD.0000000000000622](https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0000000000000622)] [Medline: [25730508](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25730508/)]
12. Levy ME, Wilton L, Phillips G, Glick SN, Kuo I, Brewer RA, et al. Understanding structural barriers to accessing HIV testing and prevention services among black men who have sex with men (BMSM) in the United States. *AIDS Behav* 2014 May;18(5):972-996 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1007/s10461-014-0719-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-014-0719-x)] [Medline: [24531769](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24531769/)]
13. National Alliance of State Territorial AIDS. 2014 May 05. National HIV Prevention Inventory Module 3 URL: <https://www.nastad.org> [accessed 2016-04-01] [WebCite Cache ID 6hHhVUKxb]
14. Holtgrave DR, Kim JJ, Adkins C, Maulsby C, Lindsey KD, Johnson KM, et al. Unmet HIV service needs among Black men who have sex with men in the United States. *AIDS Behav* 2014 Jan;18(1):36-40. [doi: [10.1007/s10461-013-0574-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-013-0574-1)] [Medline: [23892769](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23892769/)]
15. Forsyth AD, Valdiserri RO. A State-Level Analysis of Social and Structural Factors and HIV Outcomes Among Men Who Have Sex With Men in the United States. *AIDS Educ Prev* 2015 Dec;27(6):493-504. [doi: [10.1521/aeap.2015.27.6.493](https://doi.org/10.1521/aeap.2015.27.6.493)] [Medline: [26595263](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26595263/)]
16. Committee for the Study of Future of Public Health. *The Future of Public Health*. Institute of Medicine. Washington: National Academy Press; 1988.

*Edited by G Eysenbach, T Sanchez, P Sullivan; submitted 11.04.16; peer-reviewed by C Khosropour; accepted 05.05.16; published 17.05.16*

*Please cite as:*

*Valdiserri RO*

*Shining a Light on America's HIV Epidemic among Men who Have Sex with Men*

*JMIR Public Health Surveill* 2016;2(1):e25

URL: <http://publichealth.jmir.org/2016/1/e25/>

doi: [10.2196/publichealth.5864](https://doi.org/10.2196/publichealth.5864)

PMID: [27244772](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27244772/)

©Ronald O. Valdiserri. Originally published in *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance* (<http://publichealth.jmir.org>), 17.05.2016. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <http://publichealth.jmir.org>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.